IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PAMELA WOLOSKY, Civil Action No. 14-CV-00804

Plaintiff, Judge Nora Barry Fischer

VS.

Electronic Filing

TRINITY AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT, PAUL KASUNICH, AMY MCTIGHE

Jury Trial Demanded

Defendants.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) REPORT OF THE PARTIES

1. Identification of counsel and unrepresented parties. Set forth the names, addresses, telephone and fax numbers and e-mail addresses of each unrepresented party and of each counsel and identify the parties whom such counsel represent:

Christian Bagin, Esquire WIENAND & BAGIN 100 First Avenue, Suite 1010 Pittsburgh, PA 15222 Christian@wienandandbagin.com

Phone: (412) 281-1110

Counsel for Plaintiff

Fax: (412) 281-8481

John W. Smart, Esquire ANDREWS & PRICE, LLC 1500 Ardmore Blvd, Suite 506 Pittsburgh, PA 15221

jsmart@andrewsandprice.com

Phone: (412) 243-9700 **Fax:** (412) 243-9660

Counsel for Defendants

2. Set forth the general nature of the case (patent, civil rights, anti-trust, class action, etc):

Plaintiff has filed this lawsuit under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, and Pennsylvania Human Relations Act alleging discrimination and retaliation including constructive demotion, hostile work environment, and disparate treatment. Defendant denies liability.

3. Date Rule 26(f) Conference was held, the identification of those participating therein and the identification of any party who may not yet have been served or entered an appearance as of the date of said Conference:

Christian Bagin, Esquire and John Smart, Esquire on October 29, 2014.

4. Date of Rule 16 Initial Scheduling Conference as scheduled by the Court: (Lead Trial Counsel and unrepresented parties shall attend the Rule16 Initial Scheduling Conference with their calendars in hand for the purpose of scheduling other pre-trial events and procedures, including a Post-Discovery Status Conference; Counsel and unrepresented parties shall attend the Rule 16 Initial Scheduling Conference prepared to discuss the anticipated number of depositions and identities of potential deponents and the anticipated dates by which interrogatories, requests for production of documents and requests for admissions will be served):

The Rule 16 Initial Scheduling Conference is scheduled for November 24, 2014 at 2:30 p.m.

5. Identify any party who has filed or anticipates filing a dispositive motion pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 and the date(s) by which any such anticipated motion may be filed:

Defendants filed a partial Motion to Dismiss.

6. Designate the specific Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) process the parties have discussed and selected, if any, and specify the anticipated time frame for completion of the ADR process. Set forth any other information the parties wish to communicate to the court regarding the ADR designation:

The parties engaged in private mediation in September 2014. Negotiations are ongoing and the parties have not agreed to an ADR process, but anticipate that if negotiations reach impasse, another mediation may be scheduled or the parties may seek leave of court to be excused from the ADR process.

7. Set forth any change that any party proposes to be made in the timing, form or requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a) disclosures, whether such change is opposed by any other party, whether any party has filed a motion seeking such change and whether any such motion has been ruled on by the Court:

None.

8. Subjects on which fact discovery may be needed. (By executing this report, no party shall be deemed to (1) have waived the right to conduct discovery on subjects not listed herein or (2) be required to first seek the permission of the Court to conduct discovery with regard to subjects not listed herein):

The Plaintiff will be seeking discovery in the form of Interrogatories, Requests for Production of Documents and Depositions regarding her claims. The Defendant will be seeking discovery in the form of Interrogatories, Requests for Production of Documents and Depositions of witnesses. In addition, the defense will be seeking discovery on damages claimed by the Plaintiff.

- 9. Set forth suggested dates for the following (The parties may elect by agreement to schedule a Post-Discovery Status Conference, as identified in Paragraph 12, below, at the conclusion of Fact-Discovery rather than at the conclusion of Expert Discovery. In that event, the parties should provide suggested dates only for the events identified in sub-paragraphs 9.a through 9.e, below. The parties shall provide such information even if dispositive motions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 have been or are anticipated to be filed. If there are dates on which the parties have been unable to agree, set forth the date each party proposes and a brief statement in support of each such party's proposed date. Attach to this report form a proposed Court Order setting forth all dates agreed to below and leaving a blank for the insertion of a date by the Court for any date not agreed to):
 - **a.** Date(s) on which disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) have been or will be made:

The parties will make their initial disclosures within fourteen calendar days of the filing of Defendants' Answer(s).

b. Date by which any additional parties shall be joined:

N/A

c. Date by which the pleadings shall be amended:

December 16, 2014

d. Date by which fact discovery should be completed:

April 23, 2015

e. If the parties agree that discovery should be conducted in phases or limited to or focused on particular issues, identify the proposed phases or issues and the dates by which discovery as to each phase or issue should be completed:

None

f. Date by which plaintiff's expert reports should be filed:

May 26, 2015

g. Date by which depositions of plaintiff's expert(s) should be completed:

July 27, 2015

Date by which defendant's expert reports should be filed:

h.

		May 26, 2015
	i.	Date by which depositions of defendant's expert(s) should be completed:
		July 27, 2015
	j.	Date by which third party expert's reports should be filed:
		N/A
	k.	Date by which depositions of third party's expert(s) should be completed:
		N/A
10.	by the	parties agree that changes should be made to the limitations on discovery imposed or Local Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule or that any other limitations should be imposed on very, set forth such changes or limitations:
		iff believes she may need more than 10 depositions, and requests the Court grant to exceed the limitation on the number of depositions.
11.	of cou includ to asse	rth whether the parties have considered the need for special deadlines, procedures or orders rt dealing with discovery of electronically-stored information (electronic discovery), ing the need for the preservation of discoverable information and the protection of the right ert privilege(s) after the production of privileged information and if so, set forth the results h consideration. In particular, answer the following questions:
	a.	\underline{ESI} . Is either party seeking the discovery of ESI in this case? \boxtimes Yes \square No
		If disputed, identify the nature of the dispute
	b.	Metadata: Will any metadata be relevant in this case? ☐ Yes X No* * The parties have agreed to a general presumption that system metadata is
	durin authe specif that a balan	elevant to any claim or defense in this case. However, the parties recognize that a discovery, a bona fide and good faith dispute may arise as to the enticity, or other aspect, of a document, in which case, the parties recognize fic system or application metadata as to such documents may be relevant, and a party must produce the relevant metadata, notwithstanding the fact that the ace of the document or data has already been produced. In such case, the es agree to waive any application of the provision of F.R.C.P. 34(b)(2)(E)(iii).

	If yes, with respect to what ESI		
	If disputed, identify the nature of the dispute		
с.	Format. Have the parties agreed on the format(s) for production of ESI? ▼ Yes Native form □ No		
	If no, what disputes remain outstanding		
d.	<u>Clawback Agreement</u> . Will the parties be using the Form Inadvertent Production Provision of LCvR 16.1.D? ▼ Yes □ No		
	If no, will an alternative provision be proposed? □ Yes (Please attach) □ No		
e.	Search terms. Have the parties agreed on any protocol for review of electronic data? ▼ Yes □ No		
	If yes, please describe:		
	Will exchange search terms when requesting production of electronically stored information. The search terms sought by Plaintiff and Defendants will initially include the names and variants of the names of Plaintiff and the individual defendants.		
	If no, please identify what issues remain outstanding		
f.	Accessibility. Have the parties agreed on what ESI is "reasonably accessible" as defined in R. $26(b)(2)(B)$? \blacksquare Yes \Box No		
	If no, please identify the nature of the dispute		
g.	<u>Preservation</u> . Are there any unresolved issues pertaining to the preservation of ESI? If so, please describe:		
	Yes. Defendant Trinity has represented that all ESI since 2009 has been preserved in reasonably accessible form. Plaintiff identified relevant voicemail messages from Jack Keisling and Charles Mahoney which she had deliberately saved on her work voice mailbox for months before going on medical leave, which were not preserved.		

Other. Identify all outstanding issues or disputes concerning ESI

h.

Whether ESI on individual defendants and Board members' personal computers and devices was preserved or collected at the conclusion of their respective employment and service. Discussions are ongoing. Parties may supplement.

12. Set forth whether the parties have elected to schedule the Post-Discovery Status Conference following the completion of Fact Discovery or Expert Discovery; in either event the parties shall be prepared at the Post-Discovery Status Conference to discuss and/or schedule the following: (The parties are *not* required during their Rule 26(f) Conference to consider or propose dates for the items identified below. Those dates will be determined, if necessary, at the Post-Discovery Status Conference. Lead trial counsel for each party and each unrepresented party are required to attend the Post-Discovery Status Conference with their calendars in hand to discuss those items listed below that require scheduling. In addition, a representative with settlement authority of each party shall be required to attend; representatives with settlement authority of any insurance company providing any coverage shall be available throughout the Conference by telephone):

The parties have agreed on a Post Discovery Status Conference after Fact Discovery.

- a. Settlement and/or transfer to an ADR procedure;
- b. Dates for the filing of expert reports and the completion of expert discovery as itemized in sub-paragraphs 9.f. through 9.k., above, if the parties elected to defer such discovery until after the Post-Discovery Status Conference;
- c. Dates by which dispositive motions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56, replies thereto and responses to replies should be filed;
- d. Dates by which parties' pre-trial statements should be filed;
- e. Dates by which *in limine* and *Daubert* motions and responses thereto should be filed;
- f. Dates on which motions in *limine* and *Daubert* motions shall be heard;
- g. Dates proposed for final pre-trial conference;
- h. Presumptive and final trial dates.
- 13. Set forth any other order(s) that the parties agree should be entered by the court pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) or 26(c):

None

14. Set forth whether the parties anticipate that the court may have to appoint a special master to deal with any matter and if so, specify the proposed role of any such master and any special qualifications that such master may require to perform such role:

None

15. If the parties have failed to agree with regard to any subject for which a report is required as set forth above, except for proposed dates required in paragraph 9, above, briefly set forth the position of each party with regard to each matter on which agreement has not been reached:

None

16. Set forth whether the parties have considered the possibility of settlement of the action and describe briefly the nature of that consideration:

Yes. The parties conducted a private mediation in September 2014 and settlement negotiations are ongoing.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Christian Bagins/John W. SmartChristian BaginJohn W. SmartPA ID #85511PA ID # 43592WIENAND & BAGINANDREWS & PRICE100 First Ave. Suite 10101500 Ardmore Blvd.Pittsburgh, PA 15222Suite 506Pittsburgh, PA 15201

PH: 412-281-1110 PH: 412-243-9700 FAX: 412-281-8481 FAX: 412-243-9660 Christian@wienandandbagin.com jsmart@andrewsandprice.com